Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 022506

62
ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 DODE-00 PM-03 CIAE-00 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 ISO-00 /042 R

DRAFTED BY OASD/ISA:HGAFFNEY
APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:EJSTREATOR
OASD/ISA:MG MCAULIFFE
OASD/PAE:MR. WOODS
EUR/RPM:VLEHOVICH
PM/ISP:ATURRENTINE (DRAFT)
---------- 105799
P R 310022Z JAN 75
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION NATO PRIORITY
INFO USCINCEUR
USNMR SHAPE
USDELMC
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT
CINCUSAREUR
CINCUSNAVEUR
CINCUSAFE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 022506

E.O. 11652C GDS
TAGS: NATO, MPOL
SUBJECT:MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE: NATO DEFENSE IN THE LONG
TERM

REF: USNATO 460

1. YOUR PROPOSED LANGUAGE FOR SECTION ON QTE NATO DEFENSE
IN THE LONG TERM UNQTE FOR INCLUSION IN MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE
IS GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE FOR FIRST DRAFT OF THAT DOCUMENT,
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 022506

THOUGH WE SUGGEST SOME CHANGES AS DESCRIBED BELOW.

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

2. WE SUGGEST CHANGING ORDER OF FIRST PARA, AS FOLLOWS: QTE REVIEW OF THE CURRENT INTERNATIONAL SECURITY SITUATION AND OF MAJOR RELATED TRENDS FOR THE FUTURE LEADS TO THE INESCAPABLE NECESSITY FOR NATO TO MAINTAIN A CAPABILITY TO DETER AGGRESSION OR INTIMIDATION, AND IF DETERRENCE FAILS, TO DEFEND NATO TERRITORIES AND PEOPLES. THE MEMBERS OF NATO ARE SEEKING IMPROVEMENTS IN EAST-WEST RELATIONS, BUT NEGOTIATIONS ARE SLOW, AND MEANWHILE THE MILITARY CAPABILITIES OF THE WARSAW PACT NATIONS CONTINUE TO EXPAND. CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF NATO'S DEFENSE STRENGTH FURNISHES A SECURE BASIS FROM WHICH TO NEGOTIATE IN ADDITION TO PROVIDING A BAR TO AGGRESSION OR INTIMIDATION. UNQTE.

3. AS A SECOND PARAGRAPH, YOU SHOULD PICK UP LANGUAGE OF PARA 5 OF OUR DECEMBER 3 DRAFT, SINCE AN IMPORTANT PURPOSE OF THIS LONG-RANGE DEFENSE CONCEPT WILL BE TO GAIN CONTINUING PUBLIC SUPPORT FOR DEFENSE EFFORTS, ALONG FOLLOWING LINES. "WHILE IT FALLS TO GOVERNMENTS TO EXPLAIN THE NECESSITY FOR CONTINUED NATO DEFENSE, IT IS ALSO NECESSARY TO ENSURE THAT NATO DEFENSE IS CARRIED OUT IN A MANNER ACCEPTABLE TO THE PUBLIC AND PARLIAMENTS AND THAT IT IS BEING CARRIED OUT AS EFFICIENTLY AS POSSIBLE IN ITS USE OF MONEY AND MANPOWER. BOTH THE UTILITY AND ACCEPTABILITY OF THE CONTINUING NATO DEFENSE EFFORT REQUIRE THE CONTINUING ATTENTION OF GOVERNMENTS." YOU SHOULD THEN RETAIN PARA 2 REFTEL,

4. IN PARA 3, YOU SHOULD REPHRASE LAST SENTENCE TO READ: "THE ALLIANCE CAN AND WILL USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS AS NECESSARY."

5. IN PARA 4, CHANGE FIRST PHRASE TO READ, "ALTHOUGH THERE REMAIN OBJECTIVE DISPARITIES, ETC." IN SECOND SENTENCE, CHANGE SECOND PHRASE TO READ, "NATO MUCH CONTINUE TO PLACE MAJOR EMPHASIS, ETC." CHANGE THIRD SENTENCE TO READ, "IF NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES AND NATIONS SET, ETC," CHANGE LAST PHRASE OF THIRD CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 022506

SENTENCE TO READ, "NATO SHOULD BE ABLE TO ESTABLISH THE BASIS FOR MAINTAINING A SATISFACTORY RELATIVE BALANCE WITH THE FORCES OF THE WARSAW PACT WITHIN ACCEPTABLE RESOURCE LEVELS FOR THE LONG TERM."

6. PARA 5 SEEMS UNNECESSARY AND CAN BE OMITTED, IN LIGHT CHANGE SUGGESTED PARA 3 ABOVE.

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

7. CHANGE INTRODUCTION TO PARA 6 TO READ, "SATISFACTORY MAINTENANCE OF NATO'S DEFENSE CAPABILITIES OVER THE LONG TERM APPEARS POSSIBLE IF:" CHANGE PARA 6A TO READ, "ALLIES MAKE STEADFAST COMMITMENTS TO MAINTAIN THE FORCES THEY ALREADY HAVE -- WHETHER FORMALLY COMMITTED TO THE ALLIANCE OR NOT -- AND TO CONTINUE TO MODERNIZE AND IMPROVE THESE FORCES AND ASSOCIATED COMMON SUPPORTING FACILITIES. NO COUNTRY CAN LET DOWN AT THE EXPENSE OF THE OTHERS. THIS MEANS ALLOCATING SOME MODEST REAL INCREASE OF DEFENSE FUNDS, ETC."

8. PARA 7 IS TOO SPECIFIC AND PROPOSALS ALONG THOSE LINES SHOULD BE RESERVED FOR LATER PORTIONS OF THE GUIDANCE. SUGGEST DELETING HERE.

9. CHANGE THIRD SENTENCE OF PARA 8 TO READ: "NATIONS AND NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES SHOULD THEREFORE MAKE RENEWED EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY AND SET IN TRAIN IN-CREASED COOPERATIVE EFFORTS IN ORDER TO SATISFY NATO DEFENSE OBJECTIVES MOST EFFICIENTLY." PARA 8 CAN BE STOPPED THERE; REST OF PARA TENDS TO REPETITIVE AND PERHAPS TOO PHILOSOPHICAL; IT CAN BE DELETED.

KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: INSTRUCTIONS, MILITARY PLANS, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, MINISTERIAL MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 31 JAN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE022506
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: HGAFFNEY
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750035-0747
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t1975012/aaaaabfk.tel
Line Count: 141
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 USNATO 460
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 17 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <17 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <18 APR 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: INISTERIAL GUIDANCE: NATO DEFENSE IN THE LONG TERM
TAGS: MPOL, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006